EXHIBIT 12

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Case No.
vs.)	3:17-cv-000939-WHA
)	
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;)	
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,)	
INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

*** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ***

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ZACHARY MORRISS

San Francisco, California

Wednesday, July 26, 2017

Volume I

Reported by:

CARLA SOARES CSR No. 5908 Job No. 2661294

Pages 1 - 295

		Page 122
1	possibly be because there's	11:57:59
2	as opposed to	
3	BY MS. CHANG:	
4	Q Does	
5	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to form.	11:58:17
6	THE WITNESS: Yes. To the best of my	
7	knowledge,	
8		
9	BY MS. CHANG:	
10	Q What is your understanding of what	11:58:28
11	means?	
12	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to form.	
13	THE WITNESS: As I understand it, it means	
14	that there are	•
15		11:58:40
16	BY MS. CHANG:	
17	Q Is that something that's known outside of	
18	Waymo?	
19	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to form.	
20	THE WITNESS: I'm confused. Are	11:58:54
21	known outside of Waymo?	
22	BY MS. CHANG:	
23	Q Yes.	
24	A Yes. They're used in they're used in	
25		11:59:06

		Page 123
1	Q For the record, could you state what	11:59:12
2	stands for?	
3	$A \qquad A$	
4		
5	Q Continuing on with Trade Secret 48 on	11:59:32
6	page 31 of Exhibit 1079, the next statement reads,	
7		
8		
9		
10		11:59:49
11		
12	Do you know what is being referred to as	
13	the unique proprietary design?	
14	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to form.	
15	THE WITNESS: It's tough for me to speak	12:00:06
16	to the legalese in here. I would say that there are	
1.7	aspects of PBr sorry of KBr that are	
18	architecturally obvious. But those are very	
1,9	high-level aspects, and getting the details right in	
20	the implementation are some of the special, unique	12:00:21
21	things to KBr that are not as obvious.	
22	BY MS. CHANG:	
23	Q Starting with the obvious features, can	
24	you describe what aspects you would consider obvious	
25	of KBr?	12:00:33

	ii ya waxay waxa	
		Page 149
1	BY MS. CHANG:	12:36:29
2	Q When you were working on	
3	for KBr, did you do any research	
4	regarding	
5	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to form.	12:37:20
6	THE WITNESS: I certainly Googled	
7	designs and stuff like that to familiarize myself.	
8	I think I bought a book off of Amazon about fiber	
. 9	laser design.	
10	BY MS. CHANG:	12:37:35
11	Q During the course of that research, did	
12	you find that a was used in	
13	LiDAR applications?	
14	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to form.	To compare the second s
15	THE WITNESS:	12:37:45
16	known method of	
17	LiDAR applications.	
18	But just because you have of	
19	doesn't mean you have a successful	
20	design that meets a certain spec or criteria.	12:38:00
21	BY MS. CHANG:	
22	Q During the course of your research, did	
23	you find that	
24	were used in	
25	applications?	12:38:12

ene anemalia da ferrante anti-		Page 150
1	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Form.	12:38:14
2	THE WITNESS:	
3	are used, yes, in the	
4	of	
5	lasers.	12:38:25
6	MS. CHANG: I'm done with my line of	
7	questioning. We can take a lunch break or we can	
8	continue on.	
9	MR. SCHMIDT: Now is probably a good time	
10	for lunch, I think.	12:38:34
11	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: We are off the record	
12	at 12:39 p.m.	
13	(Recess, 12:39 p.m 1:21 p.m.)	9
14	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: We are back on the	
15	record at 1:21 p.m.	13:21:00
16	BY MS. CHANG:	
17	Q I'm handing you what's been marked	
18	previously as Exhibit 1066.	
19	Do you recognize this document?	
20	A I do not, no.	13:21:24
21	Q This is a presentation titled "CDR: Laser:	
22	Team, " having Bates No. WAYMO-UBER-00008220 to 8223.	
23	Do you know what CDR stands for?	
24	A It's not coming to mind right now.	
25	Q If you turn to the second page with Bates	13:21:49

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1110-12 Filed 08/07/17 Page 7 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 1 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 2 certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that 6 a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 8 under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is 9 a true record of the testimony given. 10 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 11 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review 12 of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 13 I further certify I am neither financially 14 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or any party to this action. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 16 subscribed my name. 17 18 Dated: July 27, 2017 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 CARLA SOARES 25 CSR No. 5908

Page 295